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Summary

Can miraculous healings be equalized with "spontaneous remissions" from modern medical terminology? Following the trace of (mostly) medieval miracle accounts, the present paper, though arguing against the use of the term "spontaneous", supports the idea of equalization, finding clear examples of failed or incomplete healings, relapses, and the cases of saints who themselves were passing through the process of illness. In conclusion of this interdisciplinary approach combining historical material with modern knowledge, it is advocated that a significant corpus of miraculous healings have been based on suggestive mechanisms.

Résumé

Les miracles doivent-ils être considérés comme des "guérisons spontanées" dans la conception actuelle de l'Art de guérir ? En s'intéressant aux miracles (la plupart) du Moyen Âge, ce travail tend à s'opposer à l'emploi du terme "spontané" et défend plutôt l'idée proprement dite de miracle. Il analyse des cas de guérison ratée ou incomplète, de récidives, et des situations où des saints eux-mêmes sont passés à travers la maladie. En conclusion, cette approche interdisciplinaire, combinant des recherches historiques et des connaissances actuelles, soutient l'hypothèse selon laquelle un nombre important de guérisons miraculeuses trouvent leur origine dans la suggestion.

General Problems in the Analysis of Miraculous Healings

Immersed in the flood of the accounts of miraculous-healing, any ambitious investigator, especially if coming from medical circles, confronts the temptation of proclaiming many unexpected inexplicable healings to be "spontaneous remissions". That the symptoms went into remission is obvious, but what does "spontaneous" mean? Does any process in the world of nature occur spontaneously? No: this is only one more synonym for our ignorance. "Spontaneous" remission does not exist: Remissions are a result of the intrinsic capacities of the human, and they are triggered by suggestion(I).

One should also admit that we meet, almost exclusively, successful accounts of healing by saints; although there are cases described when a partial or complete failure may be suspected or much more rarely clearly identified. Not all of those who supplicated St Anno of Koln (11th c.) were satisfied:

"Who disobeys his vow, will be punished (...). A woman became deaf again (140), a daughter died once more (II 68). Occasionally the sufferings worsen (II 76)" (2).

Obviously, the purpose of the generalisations was to make the folk obey their vows and to force them to follow certain moral rules. For those of us who look upon it from a more practical side, these statements simply mean that there were cases when the saint failed. By the shrine of St Gibrian (12th c.) as well, only a few of the crowd which visited Gibrian's relics on April 29th, 1145
were healed; "on a Sunday soon after the acknowledgement of the first miracle of this saint". Of course, the official explanation is again: "Because of their sins, most of them had to go back home in the same way they came" (3). Describing the royal touch of the French kings, for scrofula it was said that "many of the touched were healed; but some were not" (4).

An interesting case when saintly propaganda fights pagan propaganda is described in a Vita of St Bernardino.

A free translation reads:
"In Toscana, one mile from the city of Arezzo, there was a spring called the Secret Spring, welling from beneath a mountain. At this spring many evil-doing and sorcery-practising men and women were gathering from various places, pretending that the water had some positive supernatural qualities. However, this drink was the work of demons, since the water had been under the spells of the sorcerers. Among other things, these men and pestiferous women were doing as follows: if they had found a 1 or 2 year-old boy having some disease, they would bring him to the spring and dip him into its coldness. Because of this, most of the paralysed children died of freezing. These men and women said that this was by divine permission (...). And this same wicked site was very famous (...), so that evil-doers were gathering here not only from surrounding places but also from distant parts. And not only these, but also many other uncultured and wild persons (...)

When Bernardino raised his voice against this cult, he was expelled from the city. Obviously, the cult was very strong, and as such it could only have survived if from time to time some miraculous healing had occurred. We owe the objective account of this story to a Christian intention to destroy pagan competition. If the orthodox Christian religion had been exposed to more serious criticism in the Middle Ages (as it had later with Protestantism and Marxism), maybe the healing accounts would have been more definitive.

Beside failed healings, the accounts indicate the possibility of incomplete cures. Finucane mentions cases when a blind girl «awoke cured, although vestiges of the illness had not disappeared from one eye», and a dumb woman who «could speak somewhat better», etc. (6). In our times these would have provided opportunities for immediate verification as in the case of the young Swedish «saint-to-be» Samira, known for her hands producing oil and forehead secreting blood:

"there were rumours of miraculous healing. For instance, Intissar Zirga, 13, was blind in one eye after a shooting accident. When Samira put her oily hands on the girl's eyes, her sight was said to be 'completely' restored. However, Martin Johnson, the former professor of parapsychology at Utrecht, said that lead shot was still embedded in Intissar's eye and tests showed that her sight was still not perfect; her faith acted as a placebo, enabling her to make better use of the eyesight she had got" (7).

That ancient sources were exercised by the problem of relapse is demonstrated by an example in the Talmud:
"Ten things cause a return of the disease one recovered from, and then the disease becomes vehement. Those are: enjoyment of beef, fat, fried meat, bird meat, fried eggs, cress, hair-cut, baths, enjoyment of cheese and animal liver. Some add to it the enjoyment of nuts, some (add) cucumbers" (8).

The variety of this list of causes shows that the frequent cases of relapse had to be «solved» by imposing rules which practically nobody could obey. The real cause of the high number of relapses seems clear, as Finucane correctly emphasises, not only because some diseases
Saints, Miracles and were understood to be healed while they were actually only in remission (rheumatoid arthritis, seasonal variations of avitaminosis causing sight disturbances, etc.) (9), but the effect of suggestion or psychoanalytical healing must very often have been only temporary. As soon as the trigger for the conversion was "reactivated", the conversion disorder reactivated as well. The hagiographers and propagators of the cults of saints were extremely reticent to admit such explanations, but we can still sometimes deduce them from secondary information. The best case for this is certainly that of St Capestrano. This saint visited Olomouc for the first time in the summer of 1451 and "cured" 94 persons there. At the time of his second visit, three years later, only one of those "cured" was in the crowd to pay greetings to the Saint. As Elbel points out, "one of 94 cured is little if we consider that those people probably would have liked to see the man who had returned them their health (10).

How much can we learn from such cases? Primarily, they prove that, at least in those situations, no supernatural cause needs to be introduced for their explanation. Miracles are not explained by science; otherwise they are not miracles but phenomena obeying certain rules and limits. Miracles either occur or do not occur. They do not take place slowly (cf. the story of the man from the Kinunui family, affected on his skin and in both ears, who received, "after humble service", etc., "the hearing ability first in one, then in the other ear as well". Healing from the skin disease was not mentioned at all). (11). A woman with "a growth as big as a melon on her neck" suffered terribly from pain. Conscious that her illness was caused by her deeds, she dedicated herself humbly to serving God. After 28 years and thousands of votive offerings, "her growth opened and discharged the pus" (12). Is there any superhuman being who would offer help in such a cruel, hesitating, and awkward way?

Healers Healed

The phenomenon of saints themselves undergoing the process of illness and its curing is primarily curious. It is important because of its influence on their suggestibility capacities. Eliade mentions the uniformity of initiation rites and healing in several cases of Siberian shamans (13), while Halifax uses the personal accounts of Latin-American shamans to confirm this view (14).

Satya Sai Baba, the modern saint who, materialising remedies and surgical instruments, reminds us that miracles do exist, once claimed to have been taken ill with tuberculous meningitis. He suffered severely for five days, claiming that he actually contracted the disease from another person, and then cured himself from hemiplegia in front of 5,000 spectators (15).
Bernardino's first known disease occurs in 1401 (16), coinciding with the period of his indecisiveness concerning the choice of his life path and religious order. During Bernardino's stay in Albizzeschi, he was affected with:

"a bad toothache (...). In order to emit a voice, he had to invest an enormous effort, and, on the other hand, that harsh sound coming out from his throat was so unpleasant to a listener, that his audience was decreasing from day to day". (17).

Even more significant is the cure of what is presumably laryngitis:

"After having prayed for a certain time (...), it seemed to him (...)as if a fire ball would have come down from the sky, touched his throat, and disappeared" (18).

The illness was not ascribed to God, but the curing was. There is some mention of Bernardino's contagion with plague in 1411 (like St Rochus one century before), then erkrankte er schwer again, this time in Venice, in 1429 (19). Approaching old age, Bernardino seemingly became a wandering hospital:

"He had lost all his teeth but one, and that only tooth, so it was said, was swinging in his mouth like a little bell; he has been suffering for years from gravel, dysentery, kidney inflammation, haemorrhoids, gout, and some of his diseases could be attributed maybe to his voluntary denutrition" (20).

It is strange that a healer by suggestion of such a great capacity and efficiency as Bernardino was not more successful in curing himself. Suggestion can certainly have its limits: inexplicable miracles should not.

Conclusion

Miracles have religious, cultural, medical, psychological, anthropological, social and so many other aspects that can never be exhausted as atopic (21). Combining historical raw material with modern knowledge, the intention of this work is to demonstrate an interdisciplinary approach based on the presumption that time changes cultural epiphenomena with a much greater velocity than it does to fundamental biological phenomena. This paper was intended to provide support for the author's belief that miraculous healings may be compared with "spontaneous remissions" and that the mechanisms of hetero- or auto-suggestion may be seen in their aetiology.

There is no "wrong" conclusion to be derived from this paper save one: that the ideas exposed are intended to "de-poetisise" miracles and destroy their spiritual value in favour of some materialistic explanation. On the contrary: once upon a time Le Roy concluded that "faith is not only the source of illusory ortrue representations: it is the acting power, able to enter the balance with physical powers»"(22). And it is indeed.
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